# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

#### Case No OA- 808 OF 2016 SAJEDA BEGUM Vs. STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
06 18.04.18	For the Applicant : Mr.G.Mastafa Learned Advocate	
	For the Respondent No. 1, 3 & 5 : Mr.S.Bhattacharya Ms.R.Sarkar (Departmental Representative)	
	For the Respondent No. 6 : Mr.C.Mishra Learned Advocate	
	For the A.G.W.B. : Mr.B.Mitra (Departmental Representative)	
	The applicant has prayed for setting aside letter	
	dated May 26, 2016 issued by the Respondent No. 2 in	
	favour of the Respondent No. 3, by which payment of	
	family pension in favour of the applicant was stopped.	
	It appears from the materials on record that	
	Md. Manowar Hossain retired from Government service	
	on March 31, 2012 and got pension and other retirement	
	benefits. On April 19, 2015, Md.Manowar Hossain died	
	and order for payment of family pension was issued in	
	favour of the applicant as the wife of Md.Manowar	
	Hossain. The Respondent No. 6 claiming to be the first	
	wife of Md.Manowar Hossain submitted application	

#### SAJEDA BEGUM

Form No.

.....

### Vs.

Case No. OA - 808 OF 2016

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	before the Respondent No. 2 praying for issuance of	
	order for half share of family pension. The Respondent	
	No. 2 had written letter dated May 26, 2016 to the	
	Respondent No.3 requesting him to conduct an enquiry	
	to ascertain the claim of the Respondent No. 6 as the first	
	wife of the deceased employee and for realisation of	
	excess payment made to the applicant, which is under	
	challenge in the present application.	
	By producing record, the authorized	
	representative of the Respondent No. 3 submits that the	
	enquiry has already been conducted in connection with	
	the claim of the Respondent No. 6 and it is found that the	
	Respondent No. 6 is the first legally married wife of	
	Md.Manowar Hossain. Learned Counsel representing	
	the applicant submits that the excess payment already	
	made in favour of the applicant may not be recovered as	
	the said amount has already been spent by the applicant.	
	Learned Counsel representing the Respondent No. 6	
	submits that she may be paid 50 % of the entire amount	
	of arrears of family pension from the date of her	
	entitlement till the date of release of regular family	
	pension.	

#### SAJEDA BEGUM

Form No.

....

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

.....

## Case No. OA - 808 OF 2016

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary
		3
	On consideration of the above submission made	
	on behalf of the respective parties, we are of the view	
	that both the applicant and the Respondent No.6 are	
	entitled to get family pension in equal half share as per	
	provisions of Rule 104 of the West Bengal Services	
	(Death-cum-Retirement Benefits) Rules, 1971. The	
	Respondent No.3 being the authority to sanction family	
	pension, is duty bound to pass an order declaring	
	payment of family pension in favour of both the	
	applicant and the Respondent No. 6 in equal half share	
	from April 20, 2015. Both the applicant and the	
	Respondent No.6 are entitled to get the entire amount of	
	arrears of family pension which has not been disbursed	
	due to stoppage payment of family pension by the order	
	of Respondent No.2. However, the Respondent No. 3	
	will pass order for realisation of excess payment made in	
	favour of the applicant, so that the Respondent No. 6	
	may get her dues from the arrears of family pension	
	which has not been disbursed till date. We are of the	
	view that the amount of interest should not be realised	
	from the applicant at the time of realisation of excess	
	payment of family pension in favour of the applicant.	
	Try see of the approach.	

~ .	TED		DI	-	T T B #
SA.	л ют	A	ВК	(-	UV

Form	No.

**X**7-

#### Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

#### Case No. OA - 808 OF 2016

Case No. OA - S	<u>505 OF 2015</u>	••••••
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1	In view of our shove findings the Desmandant	3
	In view of our above findings, the Respondent	
	No. 3 is directed to sanction order of payment of family	
	pension in favour of the applicant and the Respondent	
	No. 6 in accordance with the provisions of Rule 104 of	
	West Bengal Services (Death-cum-Retirement Benefit)	
	Rules, 1971 and by following the observations made by	
	us in this order within a period of twelve (12) weeks	
	from the date of communication of the order. With the	
	above direction, the original application is disposed of.	
	Let a plain copy of the order be supplied to all parties.	
	(S.K.Das) (R.K.Bag) MEMBER(A) MEMBER (J)	
BLR		